

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,037	03/26/2004	Matthew A. Purdy	2000.113500	8441
23720 7590 066820099 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			EXAMINER	
			DUNCAN, MARC M	
HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: MATTHEW A. PURDY

Appeal No. 2008-004599 Application No. 10/810,037 Technology Center 2100

Mailed: [June 8, 2009]

Before DALE M. SHAW Chief Appeals Administrator

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 11, 2008. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal No. 2008-4599 was assigned on July 21, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Appeal No. 2008-004599 Application No. 10/810,037

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Section §1207.02 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure*(MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 6. September 2007) states:

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

. . . .

(8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed on November 15, 2008, is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the reference Atkinson et al. (2004/0029029) cited on page 8 in the Examiner's Answer's grounds of rejection of Claims 9 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Appropriate correction is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be remanded to the Examiner:

 to issue a PTO-90 citing the missing references listed under the Evidence Relied Upon section, paragraph (8); and Appeal No. 2008-004599 Application No. 10/810,037

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/llw

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON TX 77042